POSTER PRESENTATION



Open Access

Model-based prediction of maximum pool size in the ribbon synapse

Caitlyn M Parmelee^{1*}, Matthew Van Hook², Wallace B Thoreson^{2,3}, Carina Curto⁴

From 24th Annual Computational Neuroscience Meeting: CNS*2015 Prague, Czech Republic. 18-23 July 2015

The synaptic ribbon is a specialized structure in photoreceptor neurons that tethers vesicles prior to release (Figure 1A). When a cell is stimulated, vesicles are released from the ribbon and later replenished from the population of mobile vesicles in the synaptic terminal. A train of depolarizing pulses causes the ribbon to alternate between periods of release (lasting $\Delta t = 25$ ms) and replenishment (lasting T = 50ms), which occur on estimated timescales of $\tau_r = 5$ ms (for release) and $\tau_a = 815$ ms (for replenishment). After the first few pulses, the system approaches a limit cycle, and the amount of vesicles released on each pulse converges to a limiting value, R (Figure 1B). This can be used to determine the maximum available pool size on the ribbon, A. The standard method for estimating A is to measure the rate of replenishment in the limit, and then back-extrapolate from the cumulative release plot to obtain the available pool size at the start of the pulse train [1]. When comparing pulse trains of different strengths, this method yields substantially different values for A, a somewhat paradoxical result. Back-extrapolation assumes, however, that the replenishment rate is constant, even though it is thought to be proportional to the available space on the ribbon [2].

We developed a model-based approach to estimate A from the limiting release R. We modeled the rate of release (resp. replenishment) to simply be proportional to the number of vesicles on the ribbon (resp. vacant ribbon sites), and using the measured timescale τ_r (resp. τ_a). By solving the alternating differential equations, we derived a recurrence relation for the release during each pulse, R_i, which we then solved to obtain a closed form expression for R_i and the limiting release R. Specifically, we found that A = cR, where c is a function of τ_r , τ_a , Δt , T, and p, with p a release constant that captures the stimulus dependence of release probabilities, and can be estimated from the first release, R₁. In contrast to the



* Correspondence: s-cparmel1@math.unl.edu

¹Department of Mathematics, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, NE 68588, USA

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© 2015 Parmelee et al. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/ zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

able i maximum pool size predictions from pulse d'um auta						
Stimulus	Estimate for A, from back-extrapolation	Estimate for A, from the model				
-10 mV (stronger)	-136.8794 pA	-131.6858 pA				
-30 mV (weaker)	-75.1020 pA	-133.6100 pA				

Table T Maximum pool size predictions from pulse train dat	Table 1	Maximum	pool size	predictions	from	pulse	train	dat
--	---------	---------	-----------	-------------	------	-------	-------	-----

back-extrapolation method, our model-based estimate for A was similar across stimulus types (Table 1), while p was much smaller for the weaker stimulus. This suggests that available pool size does not change with stimulus strength; instead, differences in release result from changes in release probability.

Authors' details

¹Department of Mathematics, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, NE 68588, USA. ²Department of Ophthalmology and Visual Sciences, University of Nebraska Medical Center, Omaha, NE 68198, USA. ³Department of Pharmacology and Experimental Neuroscience, University of Nebraska Medical Center, Omaha, NE 68198, USA. ⁴Department of Mathematics, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA, 16802, USA.

Published: 18 December 2015

References

- 1. Sakaba T, Schneggenburger R, Neher E: Estimation of quantal parameters at the calyx of Held synapse. *Neurosci Res* 2002, 44(4):343-356.
- Van Hook MJ, Parmelee CM, Chen M, Cork KM, Curto C, Thoreson WB: Calmodulin enhances ribbon replenishment and shapes filtering of synaptic transmission by cone photoreceptors. J Gen Physiol 2014, 144(5):357-378.

doi:10.1186/1471-2202-16-S1-P41

Cite this article as: Parmelee *et al.*: Model-based prediction of maximum pool size in the ribbon synapse. *BMC Neuroscience* 2015 **16**(Suppl 1):P41.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

BioMed Central