POSTER PRESENTATION



Open Access

Neural dynamics of the speed-accuracy trade-off

Dominic Standage^{1*}, Da-Hui Wang², Gunnar Blohm¹

From The Twenty Third Annual Computational Neuroscience Meeting: CNS*2014 Québec City, Canada. 26-31 July 2014

In decision making experiments, faster decisions are accomplished at the expense of accuracy. Conversely, more accurate decisions are accomplished at the expense of time (see [1]). These data describe the speed-accuracy trade-off (SAT) and can be explained by the principles of bounded integration, where noisy evidence for the decision alternatives is integrated until one of the integrals reaches a bound. Higher bounds therefore support slower, more accurate decisions. These computations are widely believed to be implemented by feedback inhibition between neural populations selective for the alternatives. With the onset of evidence, the state of such a network is drawn toward a 'saddle point' (the intersection of the solid and dashed curves in Figure 1A) along a stable manifold (solid curves), from which it is repelled along an unstable manifold (dashed curves) toward an attractor corresponding to one of the alternatives (ends of the dashed curves). In the attractor state, the 'winning' population fires at a high rate and the other populations fire at low rates, consistent with electrophysiological data from decision tasks (see [2]). The dynamics in the vicinity of the saddle point are slow, supporting temporal integration. The SAT can therefore be controlled by mechanisms that modulate the dynamics near the saddle. Spatially non-selective excitation provides such a mechanism [3]. In simulations of a two-choice random dot motion task, we use this mechanism to control the SAT in a biophysically-based cortical model. A stronger non-selective signal leads to faster, less accurate decisions for two reasons: it shortens the time constant of the unstable manifold (Figure 1B) lowering



Figure 1 A. Stable (solid) and unstable (dashed) manifolds of the saddle point under speed (black) and accuracy (grey) conditions for one level of task difficulty (4% coherence). **B.** Time constant of the unstable manifold of the saddle for different task difficulties under speed and accuracy conditions. Coherence refers to the percentage of coherently moving random dots in a simulated decision task, where easier tasks have higher coherence.

* Correspondence: standage@queensu.ca

¹Department of Biomedical and Molecular Sciences, Queen's University, Kingston, Canada

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© 2014 Standage et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

decision time; and it re-positions the stable manifold, pushing it closer to the midline for rates below the saddle point. Thus, it shrinks the basin of attraction of the population receiving more evidence, so noise is more likely to drive the network toward the other (incorrect) attractor, lowering accuracy. The model accounts for recent electrophysiological recordings from putative integrator neurons showing a higher baseline rate, a higher rate of increase, and a higher peak under speed conditions (vice versa for accuracy) [4]. The model predicts that the firing rates of neurons selective for the alternatives will separate at higher rates under speed conditions, and that the difference between this rate and the baseline rate will be greater under speed conditions. Since the rates at the time of separation can be considered a 'decision threshold', the model conflicts with the hypothesis that a flexible bound is implemented by reducing the 'threshold-baseline distance' under speed conditions [1]. Our study suggests that a flexible bound is implemented by the rate at which decision dynamics unfold, not firing rates per se.

Authors' details

¹Department of Biomedical and Molecular Sciences, Queen's University, Kingston, Canada. ²Department of Systems Science, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, China.

Published: 21 July 2014

References

- Bogacz R, Wagenmakers E-J, Forstmann BU, Nieuwenhuis S: The neural basis of the speed-accuracy tradeoff. *Trends in Neurosciences* 2010, 33:10-16
- Wang X-J: Decision making in recurrent neuronal circuits. Neuron 2008, 60:215-234.
- Roxin A, Ledberg A: Neurobiological models of two-choice decision making can be reduced to a one-dimensional nonlinear diffusion equation. PLoS Computational Biology 2008, 4(3):e1000046.
- Heitz RP, Schall JD: Neural mechanisms of speed-accuracy tradeoff. Neuron 2012, 76:616-628.

doi:10.1186/1471-2202-15-S1-P203

Cite this article as: Standage *et al.*: Neural dynamics of the speedaccuracy trade-off. *BMC Neuroscience* 2014 **15**(Suppl 1):P203.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

) BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit