

POSTER PRESENTATION

Open Access

Sparse coding model captures V1 population response statistics to natural movies

Mengchen Zhu¹, Ian Stevenson², Urs Köster², Charles M Gray³, Bruno A Olshausen², Christopher J Rozell^{4*}

From Twenty Second Annual Computational Neuroscience Meeting: CNS*2013
Paris, France. 13-18 July 2013

Local populations of sensory cortical cells exhibit a diverse range of activity patterns. However, classical approaches have neither fully accounted for nor characterized this heterogeneity, especially in response to natural stimuli. First, classical single cell recordings suffered from sampling bias and favored highly responsive cells [1]. Second, common approaches considered mostly the average activity over different cell classes, without a full description of the statistical distribution over the entire population [2]. Recent studies started to address these issues [3,4]. In this study, we make further inroads by recording simultaneous single unit activities across cortical layers in cat V1 in response to natural movies using a silicon polytrode, and comparing the population statistics to the predictions from a dynamical system implementation of the sparse coding model [5,6], with a linear-nonlinear model as control.

We analyzed data sets from two recording sessions in anaesthetized cats viewing natural movies. To quantitatively measure the difference between model predictions and the recording, we used the earth mover's distance [7] to quantify the dissimilarities between the distributions of the recorded response and those predicted by sparse coding and linear-nonlinear model. We show that: (1) The population firing rate distribution is close to exponential in both the recorded data and the sparse coding model in response to natural movies; (2) The response correlation between unit activities is small regardless of the size of receptive field overlap, when using a binning window synced to the movie frame. A similar relationship between the response correlation and receptive field overlap is observed in the sparse coding model; (3) A linear-nonlinear model could predict

the exponential firing rate distribution, but not the correlation structure.

Author details

¹Department of Biomedical Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332, USA. ²Redwood Center for Theoretical Neuroscience, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA. ³Cell Biology and Neuroscience, Montana State University, Bozeman, MT 59717, USA. ⁴School of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332, USA.

Published: 8 July 2013

References

1. Olshausen B, Field D: **How close are we to understanding V1?** *Neural Computation* 2005, **17**(8):1665-1699.
2. Wohrer A, Humphries MD, Machens C: **Population-wide distributions of neural activity during perceptual decision-making.** *Progress in Neurobiology* 2012.
3. Yen S, Baker J, Gray C: **Heterogeneity in the responses of adjacent neurons to natural stimuli in cat striate cortex.** *Journal of neurophysiology* 2007, **97**(2):1326.
4. Herikstad R, Baker J, Lachaux JP, Gray CM, Yen SC: **Natural Movies Evoke Spike Trains with Low Spike Time Variability in Cat Primary Visual Cortex.** *The Journal of Neuroscience* 2011, **31**(44):15844-15860.
5. Olshausen B, Field D: **Emergence of simple-cell receptive field properties by learning a sparse code for natural images.** *Nature* 1996, **381**(6583):607-609.
6. Rozell C, Johnson D, Baraniuk R, Olshausen B: **Sparse coding via thresholding and local competition in neural circuits.** *Neural Computation* 2008, **20**:2526-2563.
7. Rubner Y, Tomasi C, Guibas L: **The Earth Mover's Distance as a Metric for Image Retrieval.** *International Journal of Computer Vision* 2000, **40**:99-121.

doi:10.1186/1471-2202-14-S1-P334

Cite this article as: Zhu et al.: Sparse coding model captures V1 population response statistics to natural movies. *BMC Neuroscience* 2013 **14**(Suppl 1):P334.

* Correspondence: crozell@gatech.edu

⁴School of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332, USA

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article