BMC Neuroscience



Poster presentation

Open Access

Transition between spike patterns induced by spike sorting errors in multi-unit recordings

Antonio Pazienti*1 and Sonja Grün²

Address: ¹European Brain Research Institute, via del Fosso di Fiorano 64, 00143 Rome, Italy and ²Theoretical Neuroscience Group, RIKEN Brain Science Institute, Wako-shi, 351-0198 Saitama, Japan

Email: Antonio Pazienti* - apazienti@gmx.net

* Corresponding author

from Eighteenth Annual Computational Neuroscience Meeting: CNS*2009 Berlin, Germany. 18–23 July 2009

Published: 13 July 2009

BMC Neuroscience 2009, 10(Suppl 1):P329 doi:10.1186/1471-2202-10-S1-P329

This abstract is available from: http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2202/10/S1/P329

© 2009 Pazienti and Grün; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

Multiple, extracellular electrode recordings offer the chance to detect assembly activities, and to identify the network composition and functions. In order to extract the single unit spiking activities spike sorting is performed. This is a crucial step of data pre-processing in preparation for further analyses. However, due to noise and variability the improvement of spike sorting techniques is still open field of research. Indeed, spike sorting is subject to errors [1], whose consequences on subsequent analyses are poorly understood. Here we present work on the impact of imperfect sorting for different analysis methods, such as Unitary Event analysis [2,3] and cross-correlation function.

We modeled the effect of spike sorting errors by "polluting" parallel spike trains with typical failures: falsely assigned (false positive, FP) and erroneously missed (false negative, FN) spikes. In a former study we concentrated on pairs of simultaneous spike trains [4] and found that the significance of the correlation is reduced for both FNs and FPs. Furthermore, FNs have a stronger effect. Tolerant sorting strategies seem thus preferable. Interestingly, inserted FPs do not introduce false positive correlation [4].

For more simultaneous processes, considerations on higher-order correlations come into play. We study a system of N parallel processes by use of the following model: 1) in a subset of ω out of the N neurons we inserted exactly coincident spikes (patterns of order ω) according

to a Poisson process; 2) the remaining N - ω processes are statistically independent; 3) all processes follow a Poisson distribution of identical firing rates. The complexity ξ , the number of spikes within a bin, of the measured coincidences, typically differs from the order ω of the underlying correlation patterns. Based on this model, we provide an analytical description of the effects of spike sorting errors on correlation analysis. Our results show that for direct cases, i.e. $\omega = \xi$, both kind of errors lead to an underestimation of the significance of synchronization – as found for the case of pairs of spike trains. For cross-cases, i.e. $\omega \neq \xi$, inserted patterns of a given order may even lead to an increase of significance of coincidence patterns of lower (ξ < ω) complexity, due to FNs destroying higher order patterns.

Thus, understanding the effects of data pre-processing procedures on subsequent data analysis is an important step to rule out inconsistencies in the interpretation of results.

Acknowledgements

Partially funded by BMBF (BCCN Berlin, #01GQ0413), the Stifterverband für die deutsche Wissenschaft, and the VW-Foundation (AZI/79342).

References

1. Harris K, Henze D, Csicsvari J, Hirase H, Buzsáki G: Accuracy of tetrode spike separation as determined by simultaneous intracellular and extracellular measurements. J Neurophysiol 2000, 84:401-414.

- Grün S, Diesmann M, Aertsen A: Unitary events in multiple single-neuron spiking activity: I. Detection and significance. Neural Comput 2002, 14:43-80.
- Grün S, Diesmann M, Aertsen A: Unitary events in multiple single-neuron spiking activity: II. Nonstationary data. Neural Comput 2002, 14:81-119.
- Pazienti A, Grün S: Robustness of the significance of spike synchrony with respect to sorting errors. J Comput Neurosci 2006, 21:329-342.

Publish with **Bio Med Central** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."

Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:

- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- \bullet yours you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing_adv.asp

