## **BMC Neuroscience**



Poster presentation

**Open Access** 

## Studying the precision of temporal neural code: some limitations of spike train distances

Daniel Chicharro\*1, Ralph G Andrzejak1 and Thomas Kreuz<sup>2,3</sup>

Address: <sup>1</sup>Department of Information and Communication Technologies, Universitat Pompeu Fabra, Barcelona, Spain, <sup>2</sup>Institute for Nonlinear Sciences, University of California San Diego, CA, USA and <sup>3</sup>Institute for Complex Systems, CNR, Sesto Fiorentino, Italy

Email: Daniel Chicharro\* - daniel.chicharro@upf.edu

\* Corresponding author

from Eighteenth Annual Computational Neuroscience Meeting: CNS\*2009 Berlin, Germany. 18–23 July 2009

Published: 13 July 2009

BMC Neuroscience 2009, 10(Suppl 1):P130 doi:10.1186/1471-2202-10-S1-P130

 $This\ abstract\ is\ available\ from:\ http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2202/10/S1/P130$ 

© 2009 Chicharro et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

Since the introduction of a family of cost-based distances between spike trains by Victor and Purpura [1,2], spike train distances are widely used to study the precision of the temporal coding of neurons (see [3] for a review). Subsequently, other distances that act on convoluted spike trains have been proposed [4,5]. All of these distances involve the choice of a parameter determining the time scale at which the spike trains are compared. In general, the extent of the stimulus-specific clustering manifest in the distances between spike trains of a neuron firing in response to a set of trials of different stimuli is used to quantify the mutual information between the stimuli and the spike trains in dependence of the respective parameter. The time scale for which the maximum mutual information is obtained serves as an indicator about the precision of the temporal coding.

Here we discuss limitations of the use of spike train distances as well as principal shortcomings of the mutual information maximization procedure for the study of neural coding. The implementation of this whole procedure relies on some initial implicit assumptions about the actual neural code: the single neuron is assumed as the coding unit, the stimuli are conjectured to be the ones encoded by this neuron and the existence of an optimum temporal precision is taken for granted. However, since the calculation of the spike train distances and the maximization of mutual information are always possible and will always provide an optimal time scale even if these

assumptions are incompatible with the actual code, naïve applications can lead to wrong conclusions.

To demonstrate the dangers of such implicit assumptions, we simulate examples in which the relation between stimuli and spike trains is known and study the performance of the techniques recovering the actual coding when the assumptions above are not justifiable. In particular, we consider the non-existence of a unique time scale simulating spike trains with bimodal or non-stationary firing rates. For this case we also compare the use of the time scale parametric distances with a scale adaptive distance [6]. Furthermore we show that misinterpretations can be caused by concluding a precision for a temporal code from neurons which in fact participate in a population coding. We also show that false conclusions can be drawn due to an incorrect identification of the stimulus relevant for the neural code.

## **Acknowledgements**

DC is supported by the Departament d'Innovació, Universitats i Empresa de la Generalitat de Catalunya and the European Social Fund. RGA is supported by the Ramón y Cajal program. TK is supported by the Marie Curie Individual Outgoing Fellowship "STDP," project No 040576.

## References

- Victor JD, Purpura KP: Nature and precision of temporal coding in visual cortex: A metric-space analysis. J Neurophysiol 1996, 76:1310-1376
- Victor JD, Purpura KP: Metric-space analysis of spike trains: theory, algorithms and applications. Network 1997, 8:127-164.

- Victor JD: Spike train metrics. Curr Opin Neurobiol 2005, 15:585-592.
- Schreiber S, Fellous JM, Whitmer D, Tiesinga P, Sejnowski TJ: A new correlation-based measure of spike timing reliability. Neurocomputing 2003, 52:925-931.
- van Rossum MCW: A novel spike distance. Neural Computation 2001, 13:751-763.
- Kreuz T, Haas JS, Morelli A, Abarbanel HDI, Politi A: Measuring spike train synchrony. J Neurosci Methods 2007, 165:151-161.

Publish with **Bio Med Central** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."

Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:

- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- $\bullet$  peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- $\bullet$  yours you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing\_adv.asp

