

Poster presentation

Open Access

## Optimal sigmoidal tuning curves for intensity encoding sensory neurons with quasi-Poisson variability

Mark D McDonnell\*<sup>1</sup> and Nigel G Stocks<sup>2</sup>

Address: <sup>1</sup>Institute for Telecommunications Research, University of South Australia, Mawson Lakes, SA 5095, Australia and <sup>2</sup>School of Engineering, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK

Email: Mark D McDonnell\* - mark.mcdonnell@unisa.edu.au

\* Corresponding author

from Seventeenth Annual Computational Neuroscience Meeting: CNS\*2008  
Portland, OR, USA. 19–24 July 2008

Published: 11 July 2008

BMC Neuroscience 2008, 9(Suppl 1):P117 doi:10.1186/1471-2202-9-S1-P117

This abstract is available from: <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2202/9/S1/P117>

© 2008 McDonnell and Stocks; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

### Background

Rate-coding neurons are often characterized by their tuning curve, that is, the average firing rate,  $T(x)$ , as a function of stimulus intensity,  $x$ . However the substantial natural variability in firing rate that often occurs for a fixed stimulus provides a limitation on the fidelity of firing rate encoding of stimuli. Consequently, stimulus-dependent variance in firing rate,  $V(x)$ , is crucial in studies of tuning curve optimality. Information theory can be used to quantify such limits and to address the question of finding the tuning curve that maximizes information rate [1].

Firing activity is often modeled as a Poisson point process, such that  $V(x) = T(x)$ . However, this assumption can break down for intensity encoding neurons with monotonically non-decreasing (e.g. *sigmoidal*) tuning curves, such as primary afferent auditory nerve fibers, where refractoriness can cause firing rate saturation. As the rate nears this point, variability decreases, and to a first approximation becomes binomial rather than Poisson, so that  $V(x)$  varies quadratically with  $T(x)$ . Such neurons are sometimes called *quasi-Poisson*.

### Results

We have derived a sufficient condition for achieving maximum Shannon mutual information between stimulus intensity and firing rate when the variability is quasi-Poisson such that  $V(x) = s^2T(x)(1-T(x))$ , and  $s$  is small [2]. The sufficient condition leads to analytical expressions for two ways to achieve maximize mutual information: (i) an optimal monotonically non-decreasing tuning curve for

any given stimulus distribution and (ii) an optimal stimulus for any given monotonically non-decreasing tuning curve [2].

The optimal tuning curve for a stimulus with cumulative distribution function  $F_x(x)$  is  $T^o(x) = 0.5 - 0.5\cos(\pi F_x(x))$ , while for a tuning curve  $T(x)$ , the optimal probability density function of the stimulus is  $f_x^o(x) = (dT(x)/dx)/(\pi(T(x)(1-T(x))))^{0.5}$ . Our derivation also provides an expression for the reduction in mutual information when the tuning curve and stimulus distribution are not optimally matched [2]. This expression is a function of the relative entropy between the stimulus distribution, and a distribution known as Jeffrey's prior. The derivation makes use of a relationship between Shannon mutual information and Fisher information discussed, for example, in [1].

### Discussion

Unlike neurons with a 'preferred stimulus' (unimodal tuning curves), optimality conditions for neurons where firing rates increase monotonically with stimulus intensity (e.g. sigmoidally) have received little attention. A notable exception is [3,4], which maximizes Fisher information, and considers only Poisson variability. In contrast, we maximize mutual information, and consider quasi-Poisson variability. This leads to a very versatile analytical solution that allows for refractoriness. A limitation to be addressed in future work is how well the quadratic relationship  $V(x) = s^2T(x)(1-T(x))$  compares with measured variability. Finally, while we assume small  $s$ , our solution provides a lower bound to the achievable

mutual information for larger  $s$ , and is hence a worst-case scenario.

### Acknowledgements

This work was funded by Australian Research Council grant DP0770747, and EPSRC grant EP/C523334/1, and we gratefully acknowledge this support. We also thank Emilio Salinas and Simon Durrant for valuable discussions.

### References

1. Brunel N, Nadal J: **Mutual information, Fisher information and population coding.** *Neural Computation* 1998, **10**:1731-1757.
2. McDonnell MD, Stocks NG: **Maximally informative stimuli and tuning curves for sigmoidal rate-coding neurons with quasi-poisson variability.** *Submitted to Physical Review Letters*. arXiv:0802.1570v1.
3. Bethge M, Rotermund D, Pawelzik K: **Optimal short-term population coding: When Fisher information fails.** *Neural Computation* 2002, **14**:2317-2351.
4. Bethge M, Rotermund D, Pawelzik K: **Optimal neural rate coding leads to bimodal firing rate distributions.** *Network: Computation in Neural Systems* 2003, **14**:303-319.

Publish with **BioMed Central** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

*"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."*

Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:

- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- yours — you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here:  
[http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing\\_adv.asp](http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing_adv.asp)

